

**From:** [JPB590@aol.com](mailto:JPB590@aol.com) [<mailto:JPB590@aol.com>]

**Sent:** Saturday, August 12, 2017 5:13 PM

**To:** Stan Henry <[SHenry@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:SHenry@cathedralcity.gov)>; Greg Pettis <[GPettis@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:GPettis@cathedralcity.gov)>; Mark Carnevale <[MCarnevale@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:MCarnevale@cathedralcity.gov)>; Shelley Kaplan <[SKaplan@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:SKaplan@cathedralcity.gov)>; John Aguilar <[JAguilar@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:JAguilar@cathedralcity.gov)>

**Cc:** Charles McClendon <[CMcClendon@cathedralcity.gov](mailto:CMcClendon@cathedralcity.gov)>

**Subject:** District considerations

Dear Mayor and City Council members,

As a 20-year resident of Cathedral City and the Panorama neighborhood, I am writing to urge you to select either the SHenry1 or SBarnes2 map in your current efforts to divide the city into districts.

The consultant's recommendation, known as the purple map, stops the Panorama district on the south side of Risueno Road. The purple map creates an odd-shaped configuration that places my property and some of my neighbors' homes in a district that is primarily south of our historically recognized neighborhood.

Please do not do this.

If you *must* give the purple map credence, rather than placing the southern edge of the Panorama district on a strictly residential street like Risueno Road (and splitting the street itself into two districts), please consider moving the Panorama boundary two blocks south to 30th, a larger traffic artery and a more logical demarcation.

Thank you for your consideration of my request and for your efforts to seek community input and make the process transparent.

Julie Baumer

68-921 Risueno Road