

On Aug 23, 2017, at 3:16 AM, Chris Kanda <ctkanda2@gmail.com> wrote:

To the Mayor and each of the Council Members:

Since I can't make it to the meeting tomorrow, I'm voicing my opinion in regards to the proposed maps, however, I do have a few questions:

#1, I'm concerned as to why from 21 proposed maps, the council had to reduced it to 7 and now just these 3 plus a "new" Purple map in such a short timeframe?

#2, What is the rush now that the council needs to reduce further reduce these last 3 maps to one tomorrow?

#3, Why can't this be part of a vote by your constituents?

It doesn't make sense that you all have "City Hall at your Corner" meetings AFTER you've already voted and selected maps as you did in your last meeting and again you'll have one after THIS meeting concerning this Districting issue.

Finally, based on the last 3 plus one (Purple2) maps, my recommendation are as follows, in priority order, however, the SBarnes2 and Purple2 maps are unacceptable:

---The SHenry1 map best meets the needs of Districting for the City in that it keeps most communities together except for the backside of the Date Palm County Club, that I can tell;

---The Purple1 map although is similar to the SHenry1 map tends to be too "jagged" and appears to be gerrymandered and splits more communities up;

---The Purple2 map spreads out District 3 too long across almost the entire City and crossing over the I-10 area then back again to the NW corner of the City and misrepresents the purpose of Districting and again, appears gerrymandered. It's all over the board. Totally unacceptable and;

---The SBarnes2 obviously, splits the Cathedral City Cove community and does not fairly represent that community and appears totally gerrymandered and totally unacceptable. From looking at the Nextdoor "newsletter", most people are opposed to it anyway.

Thank you for letting me "voice" my opinion on this matter.

Chris Kanda
69332 Las Gardenias
Cathedral City, CA 92234