

Dear Mr. Milos,

I ask that this Letter be shared with Council, addressed and read at Public Meeting 2/22 and be part of Public Record.

The Planning Commission has requested clear definitions be entered into this latest Amendment to the Cannabis Ordinance. It has been decided to not consider their Recommendations at this Public Meeting and instead delay their Recommendations by forwarding to the Marijuana Task Force for their review. It will be months before this process is complete.

As you Amend this Ordinance to increase and allow all facets of the Cannabis Industry (Cultivation, Manufacturing, Distribution Warehouses and Transportation) in zones Commercial Business Park and Planned Community Commercial, you are NOT defining YOUTH CENTER, not protecting children and not protecting our Youth Facilities which serve ages 0-18.

In Planning Commission meetings, we are subject to Asst. City Atty Erica Vega's determination of Youth Center to be "Youth Center is defined as must be a non-profit". In regards to the State of California regulations on youth activity and distances being 600 feet, Asst City Attorney has stated that "the State of California does not clearly define Youth Activity".

The Planning Commission has asked for this body to Add and Define: Youth Center, Youth Activity Facility, Youth Recreational Facility, Youth Sports Facility, Youth Gathering Facility. They ask for clear consideration of Big League Dreams, soccer/football/baseball/softball fields, Parks, Youth Dance/Karate/Boxing "studios", movie theaters, arcades, Retailers of Skateboards, Sports Memorabilia. These are well utilized businesses of Residents with Families. I'll add Boomers, Palm Springs Lanes and Desert Ice Castles on Perez Road most urgently and for reason, our Ice Rink is next At Risk.

Residents with Families are very concerned.

Please consider immediate definition of Youth Center in this Amendment to the Cannabis Ordinance.

Thank you,
Denise Cross